



Decision of ARACIS Council

No. 126/16.12.2021

Considering ARACIS (Romanian Agency for Quality Assurance in Higher Education) meeting in 16.12.2021 where the results of the periodic evaluations were analysed for the institution organizing University Doctoral Studies in University for Medicine and Pharmacy "Carol Davila" in Bucharest and for related doctoral domains, evaluations undertaken based on the Government Emergency Ordinance no. 75/2005 on ensuring quality of education, approved with amendments by Law no. 87/2006 with subsequent amendments and completions of the National Education Law no. 1/2011, and of the Order of the Minister of Education and Research no. 3651 of April 12, 2021 regarding the approval of the Evaluation Methodology for doctoral university studies and the criteria systems, standards and performance indicators used in evaluations, in accordance with the provisions of the Guidelines for conducting the periodic external evaluation process of the institution organizing doctoral university studies, respectively of the Guidelines for carrying out the process of periodic external evaluation of doctoral university studies fields,

Pursuant to the provisions of Art. 15 para. (1) in ARACIS Organization and Operation Regulation, by Decision no. 105/25.11.2021 in the ARACIS Council Meeting of 25.11.2021;

Members of the Council of the Romanian Agency for Quality Assurance in Higher Education have decided:

Art. 1. The results of the periodic external evaluation of the Organizing Institution for Doctoral University Studies from the University of Medicine and Pharmacy "Carol Davila" in Bucharest are approved.

The qualification awarded is- **maintenance of accreditation**

Art. 2.(1). The results of the periodic external evaluation of University Doctoral Studies domain in the University of Medicine and Pharmacy "Carol Davila" in Bucharest are approved as follows:



No	University Doctoral Studies Domain	Doctoral School(s)	Rating
1.	Medicine	Doctoral School of University of Medicine and Pharmacy "Carol Davila"	Maintaining the accreditation
2.	Dental Medicine	Doctoral School of University of Medicine and Pharmacy "Carol Davila"	Maintaining the accreditation
3.	Pharmacy	Doctoral School of University of Medicine and Pharmacy "Carol Davila"	Maintaining the accreditation

(2) In the case of the evaluation mentioned in Paragraph (1), members of ARACIS Council have decided to change certain ratings, based on the specifications in the Annex to the current decision.

Art. 3. The result from the external evaluation of the University Doctoral Studies are published on ARACIS web page and are communicated to the institution within 5 days from the decision.

Art. 4. The Agency informs Ministry of Education (ME) regarding their decision in the aftermath of the external period evaluation, foreseen in Articles 1 and 2, within 5 days maximum from the deadline for formulation of the appeal or solutioning of the appeal/petition and adoption of the final decision.

Art. 5. The Quality Assurance Department will carry out the provisions of this decision.

Prof. univ. dr. ing. Iordan PETRESCU

President

Carmen Olimpia MIRIAN
Director Quality Assurance Department

Vlad Nicolae Popescu
Head of the Doctoral Studies Evaluation Office



Emilia Georgiana MIRCEA
Legal Counsel Legal and internal quality assurance office

Annex to the Decision no. 126 / 16.12.2021

No	Indicator (IP, IP* ¹ , IPC ²)	Key performance indicator (PI)	Rating	Motivation
University Doctoral Study domain: Medicine				
1.	PI*	A.3.1.4 The percentage of PhD supervisors who coordinate simultaneously more than 8 PhD students, but not more than 12, who are during their doctoral studies period, does not exceed 20%	Accomplished	The percentage of PhD Supervisors with more than 8 PhD students is not higher than 20%.
University Doctoral Study domain: Pharmacy				
1.	PI*	B.3.2.2. The share between the number of PhD thesis distributed to a Scientific Referee from another higher education institution than the one organizing the defence of the PhD thesis and the number of PhD thesis defended within the same doctorate domain within the doctoral school shouldn't be higher than 0,3, by reference to the situation registered during the last five years. It will be evaluated only if within the assessed doctoral domain, a minimum of ten PhD thesis were defended.	Accomplished	During the evaluated period 50 thesis were defended, of them only one referee participated more than twice in the evaluation commissions.

¹ PI*The indicators marked with an asterisk have a special status, exclusively with reference to the evaluation process of the university doctoral domains, under the conditions of art. 12 of annex no. 1 to Order no. 3651 of April 12, 2021 regarding the approval of the Evaluation Methodology of University Doctoral Studies and the systems of criteria, standards and performance indicators used in the evaluation. In case of non-compliance, the Agency grants IOSUD a period of no more than 3 years to correct the respective deficiencies. During this period, the Agency will follow the progress made by the fields in question, through periodic reports sent by IOSUD and/or through on-site visits, the final analysis of fulfilment of indicators being carried out when they are fully met, but without exceeding the grace period granted by the Agency following the initial evaluation.

² IPC Critical performance indicator, based on Annex no.4- Performance indicators and critical performance indicators related to the periodic evaluation of the institutions organizing university doctoral studies, respectively of the domains of university doctoral studies.